



# Cray Performance Measurement and Analysis Tools

#### Heidi Poxon Manager & Technical Lead, Performance Tools Cray Inc.



7-9 November, 2011



#### More on Cray Performance Tools



- Analysis assistance
  - load imbalance
  - automatic grid detection
  - loop work estimates
- Other interesting performance statistics



## **Load Imbalance Analysis**

#### **Performance Measurement and Analysis**



#### Load imbalance

- Identifies computational code regions and synchronization calls that could benefit most from load balance optimization (some processes have less work than others, some are waiting longer on barriers, etc)
- Estimates savings if corresponding section of code were balanced
- MPI sync time (determines late arrivers to barriers)
- MPI rank placement suggestions (maximize on-node communication)
- Imbalance metrics (user functions, MPI functions, OpenMP threads)

#### **Motivation for Load Imbalance Analysis**



- Increasing system software and architecture complexity
  - Current trend in high end computing is to have systems with tens of thousands of processors
    - This is being accentuated with multi-core processors
- Applications have to be very well balanced In order to perform at scale on these MPP systems
  - Efficient application scaling includes a balanced use of requested computing resources
- Desire to minimize computing resource "waste"
  - Identify slower paths through code
  - Identify inefficient "stalls" within an application

#### **MPI Sync Time**



- Measure load imbalance in programs instrumented to trace MPI functions to determine if MPI ranks arrive at collectives together
- Separates potential load imbalance from data transfer
- Sync times reported by default if MPI functions traced
- If desired, PAT\_RT\_MPI\_SYNC=0 deactivates this feature

#### **Imbalance Time**



- Metric based on execution time
- It is dependent on the type of activity:
  - User functions Imbalance time = Maximum time - Average time
  - Synchronization (Collective communication and barriers)
     Imbalance time = Average time Minimum time
- Identifies computational code regions and synchronization calls that could benefit most from load balance optimization
- Estimates how much overall program time could be saved if corresponding section of code had a perfect balance
  - Represents upper bound on "potential savings"
  - Assumes other processes are waiting, not doing useful work while slowest member finishes



- Represents % of resources available for parallelism that is "wasted"
- Corresponds to % of time that rest of team is not engaged in useful work on the given function
- Perfectly balanced code segment has imbalance of 0%
- Serial code segment has imbalance of 100%

#### Load Distribution







### **MPI Rank Placement Suggestions**

#### **Automatic Communication Grid Detection**



- Analyze runtime performance data to identify grids in a program to maximize on-node communication
  - Example: nearest neighbor exchange in 2 dimensions
     Sweep3d uses a 2-D grid for communication
- Determine whether or not a custom MPI rank order will produce a significant performance benefit
- Grid detection is helpful for programs with significant point-topoint communication
- Doesn't interfere with MPI collective communication optimizations

#### Automatic Grid Detection (cont'd)



- Tools produce a custom rank order if it's beneficial based on grid size, grid order and cost metric
- Summarized findings in report
- Available if MPI functions traced (-g mpi)
- Describe how to re-run with custom rank order

#### **Example: Observations and Suggestions**



MPI Grid Detection: There appears to be point-to-point MPI communication in a 22 X 18 grid pattern. The 48.6% of the total execution time spent in MPI functions might be reduced with a rank order that maximizes communication between ranks on the same node. The effect of several rank orders is estimated below.

A file named MPICH\_RANK\_ORDER.Custom was generated along with this report and contains the Custom rank order from the following table. This file also contains usage instructions and a table of alternative rank orders.

Rank	On-Node	On-Node	MPICH_RANK_REORDER_METHOD	
Order	Bytes/PE	Bytes/PE%		
		of Total		
		Bytes/PE		
Custom	7.80e+06	78.37%	3	
SMP	5.59e+06	56.21%	1	
Fold	2.59e+05	2.60%	2	

0.00% 0

RoundRobin

0.00e+00



# The 'Custom' rank order in this file targets nodes with multi-core# processors, based on Sent Msg Total Bytes collected for:

#

# Program: /lus/nid00030/heidi/sweep3d/mod/sweep3d.mpi

# Ap2 File: sweep3d.mpi+pat+27054-89t.ap2

# Number PEs: 48

# Max PEs/Node: 4

#

# To use this file, make a copy named MPICH\_RANK\_ORDER, and set the# environment variable MPICH\_RANK\_REORDER\_METHOD to 3 prior to# executing the program.

#

# The following table lists rank order alternatives and the grid\_order# command-line options that can be used to generate a new order.

#### Example 2 - Hycom



MPI grid detection:

There appears to be point-to-point MPI communication in a 33 X 41 grid pattern. The 26.1% of the total execution time spent in MPI functions might be reduced with a rank order that maximizes communication between ranks on the same node. The effect of several rank orders is estimated below.

A file named MPICH\_RANK\_ORDER.Custom was generated along with this report and contains the Custom rank order from the following table. This file also contains usage instructions and a table of alternative rank orders.

Rank	On-Node	On-Node	MPICH_RANK_REORDER_METHOD
Order	Bytes/PE	Bytes/PE%	
		of Total	
		Bytes/PE	

	End Observat	ions ==	
RoundRobin	1.99e+05	0.01%	0
Fold	3.55e+07	0.95%	2
SMP	8.70e+08	23.27%	1
Custom	1.20e+09	32.21%	3

CSCS Workshop, November 7-9 2011

#### Example 2 - Hycom



Run on 1353 MPI ranks, 24 ranks per node

- Overall program wallclock:
  - Default MPI rank order: 1450s
  - Custom MPI rank order: 1315s
  - ~10% improvement in execution time!
- Time spent in MPI routines:
  - Default rank order: 377s
  - Custom rank order: 303s



## **Loop Work Estimates**

#### **Loop Work Estimates**



- Helps identify loops to optimize (parallelize serial loops):
  - Loop timings approximate how much work exists within a loop
  - Trip counts can be used to help carve up loop on GPU
- Enabled with CCE –h profile\_generate option
  - Should be done as separate experiment compiler optimizations are restricted with this feature
- Loop statistics reported by default in pat\_report table
- Next enhancement: integrate loop information in profile
  - Get exclusive times and loops attributed to functions

#### **Collecting Loop Statistics**



- Load PrgEnv-cray software
- Load perftools software
- Compile AND link with –h profile\_generate
- Instrument binary for tracing
  - pat\_build –u my\_program or
  - pat\_build –w my\_program
- Run application
- Create report with loop statistics
  - pat\_report my\_program.xf > loops\_report

#### Example Report – Loop Work Estimates



Table 1: Profile by Function Group and Function Imb. Imb. Calls Group Time% Time Time Time% Function PE=HIDE Thread=HIDE 100.0% 176.687480 -- -- 17108.0 Total \_\_\_\_\_ 85.3% | 150.789559 | -- | -- | 8.0 | USER \_\_\_\_\_ 85.0% | 150.215785 | 24.876709 | 14.4% | 2.0 | jacobi .LOOPS 12.2% 21.600616 -- - 16071.0 MPI 11.9% | 21.104488 | 41.016738 | 67.1% | 3009.0 | mpi waitall \_\_\_\_\_ 2.4% 4.297301 -- - 1007.0 MPI SYNC 2.4% 4.166092 4.135016 99.3% 1004.0 mpi allreduce (sync) 

CSCS Workshop, November 7-9 2011

#### Example Report – Loop Work Estimates (2)



Table 3: Inclusive Loop Time from -hprofile generate

Loop Incl	Loop   Loop	>	Loop	Function=/.LOOP[.]
Time	Hit   Trips	s   1	Frips	PE=HIDE
Total	Mir	n	Max	
175.676881	2	0	1003	jacobiLOOP.07.li.267
0.917107	1003	0	260	jacobiLOOP.08.li.276
0.907515	129888	0	260	jacobiLOOP.09.li.277
0.446784	1003	0	260	jacobiLOOP.10.li.288
0.425763	129888	0	516	jacobiLOOP.11.li.289
0.395003	1003	0	260	jacobiLOOP.12.li.300
0.374206	129888	0	516	jacobiLOOP.13.li.301
126.250610	1003	0	256	jacobiLOOP.14.li.312
126.223035	127882	0	256	jacobiLOOP.15.li.313
124.298650   1	6305019	0	512	jacobiLOOP.16.li.314
20.875086	1003	0	256	jacobiLOOP.17.li.336
20.862715	127882	0	256	jacobiLOOP.18.li.337
19.428085   1	6305019	0	512	jacobiLOOP.19.li.338

CSCS Workshop, November 7-9 2011



## **Other Interesting Performance Data**

#### **Program Instrumentation – Sampling**



- Sampling is useful to determine where the program spends most of its time (functions and lines)
- The environment variable PAT\_RT\_EXPERIMENT allows the specification of the type of experiment prior to execution
  - samp\_pc\_time (default)
    - Samples the PC at intervals of 10,000 microseconds
    - Measures user CPU and system CPU time
    - Returns total program time and absolute and relative times each program counter was recorded
    - Optionally record the values of hardware counters specified with PAT\_RT\_HWPC
  - samp\_pc\_ovfl
    - Samples the PC at a given overflow of a HW counter
    - Does not allow collection of hardware counters
  - samp\_cs\_time
    - > Sample the call stack at a given time interval

#### -g tracegroup (subset)



- blas
   Basic Linear Algebra subprograms
- CAF Co-Array Fortran (Cray CCE compiler only)
- HDF5manages extremely large and complex data collections
- heap dynamic heap
- io includes stdio and sysio groups
- Iapack Linear Algebra Package
- math ANSI math
- mpi MPI
- omp OpenMP API
- omp-rtl
   OpenMP runtime library (not supported on Catamount)
- pthreads POSIX threads (not supported on Catamount)
- shmem SHMEM
- sysio
   I/O system calls
- system system calls
- upc
   Unified Parallel C (Cray CCE compiler only)

For a full list, please see man pat\_build

#### Specific Tables in pat\_report



```
heidi@kaibab:/lus/scratch/heidi> pat report -0 -h
pat_report: Help for -0 option:
Available option values are in left column, a prefix can be
specified:
                           -O calltree
  ct
 defaults
                           <Tables that would appear by default.>
                           -O heap_program, heap_hiwater, heap_leaks
 heap
 io
                           -O read stats, write stats
  1b
                           -0 load balance
 load balance
                           -0 lb program, lb group, lb function
 mpi
                           -O mpi callers
 D1 D2 observation
                           Observation about Functions with low
D1+D2 cache hit ratio
                           Functions with low D1+D2 cache hit ratio
 D1 D2 util
 D1 observation
                           Observation about Functions with low D1
cache hit ratio
 D1 util
                           Functions with low D1 cache hit ratio
  TLB observation
                           Observation about Functions with low TLB
refs/miss
  TLB util
                           Functions with low TLB refs/miss
```

CSCS Workshop, November 7-9 2011

#### **Heap Statistics**



#### -g heap

- calloc, cfree, malloc, free, malloc\_trim, malloc\_usable\_size, mallopt, memalign, posix\_memalign, pvalloc, realloc, valloc
- -g heap
- -g sheap
- -g shmem
  - shfree, shfree\_nb, shmalloc, shmalloc\_nb, shrealloc
- -g upc (automatic with –O apa)
  - upc\_alloc, upc\_all\_alloc, upc\_all\_free, uc\_all\_lock\_alloc, upc\_all\_lock\_free, upc\_free, upc\_global\_alloc, upc\_global\_lock\_alloc, upc\_lock\_free

#### **Heap Statistics**



```
Notes for table 5:
  Table option:
    -O heap hiwater
  Options implied by table option:
    -d am@,ub,ta,ua,tf,nf,ac,ab -b pe=[mmm]
  This table shows only lines with Tracked Heap HiWater MBytes
                                                                  Table 5: Heap Stats during Main Program
                             Tracked
                                        Tracked
 Tracked
            Total
                     Total
                                                 | PE[mmm]
                             Objects
          Allocs
                     Frees
                                        MBytes
    Heap
 HiWater
                                 Not
                                            Not
                               Freed
                                          Freed
  MBytes
                                    4
                                          1.011 |Total
   9.794
              915
                       910
    9.943
                                           1.046 pe.0
              1170
                       1103
                                    68
               715
    9.909
                       712
                                           1.010 pe.22
                                     3
    9.446
              1278
                       1275
                                     3
                                           1.010
                                                  pe.43
CSCS Workshop, November
                                                                 27
                               Cray Inc.
7-9 2011
```

#### **CrayPat API - For Fine Grain Instrumentation**



```
Fortran
include "pat_apif.h"
```

```
call PAT_region_begin(id, "label", ierr)
do i = 1,n
...
enddo
```

```
call PAT_region_end(id, ierr)
```

```
C & C++
include <pat_api.h>
```

```
ierr = PAT_region_begin(id, "label");
< code segment >
ierr = PAT_region_end(id);
```



## PGAS (UPC, CAF) Support

#### **PGAS Support**



- Profiles of a PGAS program can be created to show:
  - Top time consuming functions/line numbers in the code
  - Load imbalance information
  - Performance statistics attributed to user source by default
  - Can expose statistics by library as well
    - > To see underlying operations, such as wait time on barriers
- Data collection is based on methods used for MPI library
  - PGAS data is collected by default when using Automatic Profiling Analysis (pat\_build –O apa)
  - Predefined wrappers for runtime libraries (caf, upc, pgas) enable attribution of samples or time to user source
- UPC and SHMEM heap tracking available
  - -g heap will track shared heap in addition to local heap

#### PGAS Default Report Table 1



Table 1: Profile by Function

Samp %   Samp   	Imb.   Imb. Samp   Samp %	
1 1	I	PE='HIDE'
100.0%   48		Total
95.8%   46		-  USER
83.3%   40	1.00   3.3	3% <b> all2all</b>
6.2%   3	0.50   22.2	2%  do_cksum
2.1%   1	1.00   66.7	7%  do_all2all
2.1%   1	0.50   66.7	7%  mpp_accum_long
	0.50   66.7	
4.2%   2  ==================	0.50   33.3	3%  bzero

#### PGAS Default Report Table 2



Table 2: Profile by Group, Function, and Line Samp % | Samp | Imb. | Imb. | Group Samp | Samp % | Function Source Line PE='HIDE' 100.0% | 48 | -- | -- | Total \_\_\_\_\_ 95.8% 46 -- USER 83.3% | 40 | -- | -- |all2all 
 3
 |
 |
 mpp\_bench

 4
 |
 |
 line.298
 mpp\_bench.c || 6.2% | 3 | -- | -- |do\_cksum 3 mpp bench.c 4 | | 2.1% | 1 | 0.25 | 33.3% | line.315 4 | | 4.2% | 2 | 0.25 | 16.7% | line.316 

CSCS Workshop, November 7-9 2011

#### PGAS Report Showing Library Functions with Callers



Table 1: Profile by Function and Callers, with Line Numbers
Samp %   Samp  Group
Function
Caller
PE='HIDE'
100.0%   47  Total
93.6% 44 ETC
85.1%   40  upc_memput
3     all2all:mpp_bench.c:line.298
4 do_all2all:mpp_bench.c:line.348
5       main:test all2all.c:line.70
4.3%   2  bzero
3       (N/A):(N/A):line.0
2.1%   1  upc_all_alloc
3     mpp_alloc:mpp_bench.c:line.143
4     main:test_all2all.c:line.25
2.1%   1  upc_all_reduceUL
3     mpp_accum_long:mpp_bench.c:line.185
4     do_cksum:mpp_bench.c:line.317
5     do_all2all:mpp_bench.c:line.341
6     main:test_all2all.c:line.70

CSCS Workshop, November 7-9 2011



## **OpenMP Support**

#### **OpenMP Data Collection and Reporting**



- Measure overhead incurred entering and leaving
  - Parallel regions
  - Work-sharing constructs within parallel regions
- Show per-thread timings and other data
- Trace entry points automatically inserted by Cray and PGI compilers
  - Provides per-thread information
- Can use sampling to get performance data without API (per process view... no per-thread counters)
  - Run with OMP\_NUM\_THREADS=1 during sampling
  - Watch for calls to omp\_set\_num\_threads()

#### OpenMP Data Collection and Reporting (2)



- Load imbalance calculated across all threads in all ranks for mixed MPI/OpenMP programs
  - Can choose to see imbalance to each programming model separately
- Data displayed by default in pat\_report (no options needed)
  - Focus on where program is spending its time
  - Assumes all requested resources should be used

#### Imbalance Options for Data Display (pat\_report -O ...)



#### profile\_pe.th (default view)

Imbalance based on the set of all threads in the program

#### profile\_pe\_th

- Highlights imbalance across MPI ranks
- Uses max for thread aggregation to avoid showing under-performers
- Aggregated thread data merged into MPI rank data

#### profile\_th\_pe

- For each thread, show imbalance over MPI ranks
- Example: Load imbalance shown where thread 4 in each MPI rank didn't get much work

#### Profile by Function Group and Function (with –T)



ime %     	Time	Imb. Time	Imb.   Time %   	Calls	Group Function PE.Thread='HIDE'	OpenMP Parallel DOs <function>.<region>@<line></line></region></function>
00.0%	12.548996			7944.7	Total	automatically instrumented
97.8%	12.277316			3371.8	USER	
35.6% 29.1% 28.3%	1	0.070551	1.9%	500.0	) $ $ calc2LOOP@li.74	1
======= 1.2%	0.155028			1000.5	MPI_SYNC	
1.2% 0.0%	0.154899   0.000129		82.0%   79.8%	999.0   1.!		small and is filtered out on
======= 0.7%	0.082943			3197.2	  mpi	the default report (< 0.5%). When using "–T" the filter is
0.4% 0.1%	0.047471   0.015157	0.158820	77.6%   95.9%		)  mpi_barrier_ L  mpi_waitall_	deactivated
••• ==================================	0.033683			374.5	OMP	
0.1% 0.1%	0.013098	0.052760	84.3%	124.	5   calc3 . REGION@li.	.96 (ovhd)
0.1% 0.1%	0.010298		84.3%		· <u> </u>	

CSCS Workshop, November 7-9 2011

#### Hardware Counters Information at Loop Level



Time%		37.3%		
Time Tark Mina		6.826587		
Imb.Time		0.039858	secs	
Imb.Time%	<b>FO O</b> (	0.6%		
Calls	72.9 /sec	498.0	Calls	
DATA CACHE REFILLS:				
L2_MODIFIED:L2_OWNED:		400504050	c · · · ·	
L2_EXCLUSIVE:L2_SHARED		439531950	IIIIS	
DATA_CACHE_REFILLS_FROM_SY			<b>C   ] ]</b>	
ALL	10.760M/sec			
PAPI_L1_DCM	64.973M/sec			
PAPI_L1_DCA	135.699M/sec			• • • <del>•</del> •
User time (approx)	6.829 secs			0.0%Time
Average Time per Call		0.013708	sec	
CrayPat Overhead : Time				
D1 cache hit, miss ratios				
D1 cache utilization (miss				
D1 cache utilization (refi			-	
D2 cache hit,miss ratio				
D1+D2 cache hit, miss ratio				
D1+D2 cache utilization			-	
System to D1 refill				
System to D1 bandwidth			-	
D2 to D1 bandwidth	3928.490MB/sec	28130044826	bytes	

#### Caveats



- No support for nested parallel regions
  - To work around this until addressed disable nested regions by setting OMP\_NESTED=0
  - Watch for calls to omp\_set\_nested()
- If compiler merges 2 or more parallel regions, OpenMP trace points are not merged correctly
  - To work around this until addressed, use –h thread1
- We need to add tracing support for barriers (both implicit and explicit)
  - Need support from compilers

#### Try Adding OpenMP to an MPI Code When...



- When code is network bound
  - Look at collective time, excluding sync time: this goes up as network becomes a problem
  - Look at point-to-point wait times: if these go up, network may be a problem
- When MPI starts leveling off
  - Too much memory used, even if on-node shared communication is available
  - As the number of MPI ranks increases, more off-node communication can result, creating a network injection issue
- Adding OpenMP to memory bound codes may aggravate memory bandwidth issues, but you have more control when optimizing for cache



# Questions

??